

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 Steven M. Bauer (Bar No. 135067)
 steven.bauer@lw.com
 Sadik Huseny (Bar No. 224659)
 sadik.huseny@lw.com
 Amit Makker (Bar No. 280747)
 amit.makker@lw.com
 Shannon D. Lankenau (Bar No. 294263)
 shannon.lankenau@lw.com
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415.391.0600
 Facsimile: 415.395.8095

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 Richard P. Bress (*pro hac vice*)
 rick.bress@lw.com
 Melissa Arbus Sherry (*pro hac vice*)
 melissa.sherry@lw.com
 Anne W. Robinson (*pro hac vice*)
 anne.robinson@lw.com
 Tyce R. Walters (*pro hac vice*)
 tyce.walters@lw.com
 Genevieve P. Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)
 genevieve.hoffman@lw.com
 Gemma Donofrio (*pro hac vice*)
 gemma.donofrio@lw.com
 555 Eleventh Street NW, Suite 1000
 Washington, D.C. 20004
 Telephone: 202.637.2200
 Facsimile: 202.637.2201

LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR
 CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER LAW
 Kristen Clarke (*pro hac vice* pending)
 kclarke@lawyerscommittee.org
 Jon M. Greenbaum (Bar No. 166733)
 jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org
 Ezra D. Rosenberg (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org
 Dorian L. Spence (*pro hac vice*
 forthcoming)
 dspence@lawyerscommittee.org
 Ajay P. Saini (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 asaini@lawyerscommittee.org
 Maryum Jordan (Bar No. 325447)
 mjordan@lawyerscommittee.org
 Pooja Chaudhuri (Bar No. 314847)
 pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org
 1500 K Street NW, Suite 900
 Washington, D.C. 20005
 Telephone: 202.662.8600
 Facsimile: 202.783.0857

*Additional counsel and representation
 information listed in docket*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

NATIONAL URBAN LEAGUE, et al.,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

 WILBUR L. ROSS, JR., et al.,

 Defendants.

Case No. 5:20-cv-05799-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
 RESTRAINING ORDER PENDING
 RULING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
 TO COMPEL AND FOR SANCTIONS**

Date: TBD
 Time: TBD
 Place: Courtroom 8
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the motion for a temporary restraining order (“Motion”) filed by Plaintiffs National Urban League; League of Women Voters; Black Alliance for Just Immigration; Harris County, Texas; King County, Washington; City of Los Angeles, California; City of Salinas, California; City of San Jose, California; Rodney Ellis; Adrian Garcia; the NAACP; Navajo Nation; City of Chicago, Illinois; County of Los Angeles, California; and Gila River Indian Community (collectively, “Plaintiffs”). Having considered the motion and the papers submitted, Plaintiffs’ Motion is GRANTED.

Plaintiffs have demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits of their claims that Defendants have been implementing and continue to implement the shortened timelines from the Replan in violation of the Court’s Order Granting Plaintiffs’ Motion for Stay and Preliminary Injunction (“PI Order”) (Dkt. 208). Plaintiffs have also demonstrated, through numerous submissions, the severe irreparable injury faced by Plaintiffs and the nation should Defendants be allowed to truncate and prematurely wind up Census field operations—and the Court agrees. *See* Dkt. Nos. 84, 142, 208. The Court also finds that the balance of equities tips in Plaintiffs’ favor. An inaccurate Census will cause harm to Plaintiffs for the next decade, and this outweighs any unquantified costs and burdens to Defendants. No security is required.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, effective as of the date of this Order, Defendants are enjoined from any actions that are a result of or related to (1) the Replan’s enjoined December 31, 2020 date for reporting the tabulation of the total population to the President, or (2) any data collection or data processing timelines that are shorter than those contained in the COVID-19 Plan. Moreover, Defendants shall issue a new text message to all of their employees notifying them of the Court’s ruling, stating that the October 5 date is not operative, and stating that field data collection operations remain ongoing—and Defendants shall provide a copy of that text to the Court once sent.

This restraining order and all supporting papers have been served on Defendants through the Court’s ECF system.

1 Dated: _____
2
3

4 _____
5 HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28